PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	ггү		ANC.	
To:		PCT PCT		
			RITTEN OPINION OF THE TONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference A16068		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/JP2005/001046	International filing date (
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC .		
Applicant DAI NIPPON PRINTING (CO., LTD.			
This opinion contains indications rela Box No. I Basis of the		s:		
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establi	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unit	ty of invention			
	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited	,		
Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international app	plication		
Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the internation	nal application		
International Preliminary Examining than this one to be the IPEA and the this International Searching Authority	Authority ("IPEA") except chosen IPEA has notified will not be so considered	of that this does not ap If the International Bur I.	Il be considered to be a written opinion of the ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other reau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of	
	priate, with amendments,	before the expiration	A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a n of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.	
For further options, see Form PCT/IS.	A/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	•	Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.	•	Telephone No.		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001046

Box	No. I Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:
٠	

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001046

Staten	nent			
No	ovelty (N)	Claims	1-10	
		Claims		
lnv	ventive step (IS)	Claims		·
		Claims	1-10	·
Inc	łustriał applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	
		Claims		

Document 1: JP, 5-81142, A (Tokyo Electric Co., Ltd.) 02 April, 1993 (02.04.93), paragraphs 0009-0027, (Family: none)

Document 2: JP, 2002-149461, A (NEC Chubu Software Kabushiki Kaisha), 24 May, 2002 (24.05.02), paragraphs 0020-0058 and 0077, figs. 2-9, (Family: none)

Document 3: JP, 2000-305830, A (Toshiba Corp.), 02 November, 2000 (02.11.00), paragraphs 0008-0009, (Family: none)

The subject matters of claims 1-3 and 6-10 do not appear to involve an inventive step according to documents 1, 2 and 3 cited in the ISR. The inventions of documents 1, 2, and 3 have the same technical results in terms of carrying out the back-up of any file unit. As a structure for designating back-up object files in the invention of document 1, applying technology that designates back-up object files described in documents 2 and 3 by application units in order to resolve the common technical issue is easily obtainable by a person skilled in the art. Also, technology that designates and uses a character-string of one part of a file of an end extension that designates files is normal practice.

The subject matter of claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step according to documents 1, 2, and 3 cited in the ISR. Technology that modifies an original file name extension and generates a back-up file name is normal practice.

The subject matter of claim 5 does not appear to involve an inventive step according to documents 1, 2, and 3 cited in the ISR. Technology that divides and stores back-up files is normal practice.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			"ANSI		
То:			PCT PCT		
				/RITTEN OPINION OF THE TIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference A16068			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/JP2005/001046 International filing date 20.01.2005					
International Par	tent Classification (IPC) or both	n national classification an	nd IPC		
Applicant					
	PPON PRINTING (CO., LTD.		*	
1. This o	pinion contains indications rela	ting to the following item	s:		
\boxtimes	Box No. I Basis of the	opinion			
	Box No. II Priority				
. 🔲	Box No. III Non-establi	·			
	Box No. IV Lack of uni	ty of invention			
\boxtimes			is.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ons supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited			
	Box No. VII Certain defe	I Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII Certain obs	Certain observations on the international application			
If a d Interna than th	ational Preliminary Examining	Authority ("IPEA") except chosen IPEA has notified	ot that this does not a If the International Bu	vill be considered to be a written opinion of the pply where the applicant chooses an Authority other areau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of	
writter		priate, with amendments,	before the expiration	EA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA as on of 3 months from the date of mailing of Former expires later.	
For fu	rther options, see Form PCT/IS	A/220.			
3. For fu	rther details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and maili	ng address of the ISA/JP	*	Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001046

Box	No. I Basis of this opinion	
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	ch it was
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search	h (under
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	claimed
	a. type of material	
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material	
	in written format	
	in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international application as filed.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the appli filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Additional comments:	
	•	

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001046

1.	Statement		·	
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	YES
•		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-10	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
		Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 5-81142, A (Tokyo Electric Co., Ltd.) 02 April, 1993 (02.04.93), paragraphs 0009-0027, (Family: none)

Document 2: JP, 2002-149461, A (NEC Chubu Software Kabushiki Kaisha), 24 May, 2002 (24.05.02), paragraphs 0020-0058 and 0077, figs. 2-9, (Family: none)

Document 3: JP, 2000-305830, A (Toshiba Corp.), 02 November, 2000 (02.11.00), paragraphs 0008-0009, (Family: none)

The subject matters of claims 1-3 and 6-10 do not appear to involve an inventive step according to documents 1, 2 and 3 cited in the ISR. The inventions of documents 1, 2, and 3 have the same technical results in terms of carrying out the back-up of any file unit. As a structure for designating back-up object files in the invention of document 1, applying technology that designates back-up object files described in documents 2 and 3 by application units in order to resolve the common technical issue is easily obtainable by a person skilled in the art. Also, technology that designates and uses a character-string of one part of a file of an end extension that designates files is normal practice.

The subject matter of claim 4 does not appear to involve an inventive step according to documents 1, 2, and 3 cited in the ISR. Technology that modifies an original file name extension and generates a back-up file name is normal practice.

The subject matter of claim 5 does not appear to involve an inventive step according to documents 1, 2, and 3 cited in the ISR. Technology that divides and stores back-up files is normal practice.